

Letter Section

Convex cubic HERMITE-spline interpolation

Holger METTKE

Sektion Mathematik, Technische Universität Dresden, DDR-8027, Dresden, German Democratic Republic

Received 4 July 1984

This letter is a corrigendum and addendum to the paper "Convex cubic HERMITE-spline interpolation", published in this journal, volume 9 (1983) 205–211.

The proof "(i) \Rightarrow (ii)" of Theorem 3.3 is incorrect. Therefore, we prove the equivalence of the three statements (i), (ii), (iii) by proving of "(i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i)" where the part "(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i)" can be taken over from the original version. It remains to show the implication "(i) \Rightarrow (iii)". To do that we assume that (3.2) is solvable. Then we obtain at first

$$m_{i-1} \leq \tau_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (*)$$

Hence, m_{n-1} is bounded by

$$m_{n-1} \leq \tau_n$$

and $\frac{1}{2}(3\tau_{n-1} - m_{n-2}) \leq m_{n-1} \leq 3\tau_{n-1} - 2m_{n-2}$. Once more using (*), (3.1) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(3\tau_{n-2} - m_{n-3}) \leq m_{n-2} \leq 3\tau_{n-2} - 2m_{n-3},$$

$$a_1^{(n-2)} = 3\tau_{n-1} - 2\tau_n \leq m_{n-2} \leq \tau_{n-1}.$$

Finally, in the same manner we get the following bounds for m_{n-3} :

$$\frac{1}{2}(3\tau_{n-3} - m_{n-4}) \leq m_{n-3} \leq 3\tau_{n-3} - 2m_{n-4},$$

$$a_1^{(n-3)} = 3\tau_{n-2} - 2\tau_{n-1} \leq m_{n-3} \leq \tau_{n-2},$$

$$m_{n-3} \leq \frac{1}{2}(3\tau_{n-2} - 3\tau_{n-1} + 2\tau_n) = b_1^{(n-3)}.$$

Consequently, the validity of (a), (b) is shown for $i = n - 2$ and $i = n - 3$. Let us assume now that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^{(i)} &\leq m_i \leq \tau_{i+1}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-i)\right], \\ m_i &\leq b_k^{(i)}, & k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-i-1)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

are true for $i = n - 2, n - 3, \dots, j + 1$. From this and with the help of (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_j &\leq \frac{1}{2}(3\tau_{j+1} - a_k^{(j+1)}) = b_k^{(j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-j-1)\right], \\ m_j &\geq 3\tau_{j+1} - 2b_k^{(j+1)} = a_{k+1}^{(j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-j-2)\right], \\ m_j &\geq 3\tau_{j+1} - 2\tau_{j+2} = a_1^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(**)$ is also valid for $i = j$. \square

Remark. In addition to Theorem 3.3 we have: If the system (3.2) is solvable then all solutions can be obtained with Algorithm 1.

Proof. Let m_0, \dots, m_n be any solution of (3.2). Then we get from $(**)$

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^{(0)} &\leq m_0 \leq \tau_1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}n\right], \\ m_0 &\leq b_k^{(0)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $m_0 \in [\underline{m}_0, \bar{m}_0]$. Now let $m_i \in [\underline{m}_i, \bar{m}_i]$ be true for $i = 0, \dots, j-1$. Then we obtain from (3.1) and $(**)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(3\tau_j - m_{j-1}) &\leq m_j \leq 3\tau_j - 2m_{j-1}, \\ a_k^{(j)} &\leq m_j \leq \tau_{j+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-j)\right], \\ m_j &\leq b_k^{(j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-j-1)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m_j \in [\underline{m}_j, \bar{m}_j]$ is also true. \square

Acknowledgment

I am indebted to Prof. Dr. J.W. Schmidt who hinted me at the fault.